This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the

application.

**Listing of Claims** 

Claim 1 (Currently Amended): A method of anti-virus processing an email

having an executable attachment comprising the steps, executed by a machine, of:

a) extracting structural elements from the email;

b) examining the executable <u>attachment and comparing the executable</u>

attachment with the extracted structural elements to determine whether the executable

attachment contains attachments for code, data or encoded data that could have created

the extracted structural elements extracted earlier; and

c) signalling that the attachment is possibly viral or not on the basis of the

extent to which the examining step b) finds evidence that the structural elements have

been created by that attachment.

Claim 2 (Original): A method according to claim 1, wherein the structural

elements are categorised and the step c) includes assigning a numeric score for each

- 3 -

Response to Office Action dated October 10, 2007

element which could have been created by that attachment, and signalling that the attachment is possibly viral or not on the basis of an overall score.

Claim 3 (Original): A method according to claim 2, wherein the scores are weighted according to category.

Claim 4 (Previously Presented): A method according to claim 1, wherein the signalling step c) takes account of factors including any or all of the following attributes of the email:

standard MIME headers;

unusual MIME headers;

deviations from RFC standards;

unusual constructs;

number of attachments;

type of attachments;

encoding method used for attachments;

text content of the email; and

HTML or XHTML content of the email.

Claim 5 (Previously Presented): A method according to claim 1, wherein the step a) includes extracting the structural elements as strings, the step b) includes

examining the attachments for matches of those strings and the step c) signals the attachment as possibly viral or not on the basis of the extent to which the examining step b) finds occurrences of the strings in the attachment.

Claim 6 (Currently Amended): A system for anti-virus processing an email having an executable attachment comprising the following means, implemented by a machine:

- a) means for extracting structural elements from the email;
- b) means for examining the executable attachment and comparing the

  executable attachment with the extracted structural elements to determine whether the

  executable attachment contains attachments for code, data or encoded data that could

  have created the extracted structural elements extracted earlier; and
- c) means for signalling that the attachment is possibly viral or not on the basis of the extent to which the examining step b) finds evidence that the structural elements have been created by that attachment.

Claim 7 (Original): A system according to claim 6, wherein the structural elements are categorised and the means c) includes means for assigning a numeric score for each element which could have been created by that attachment, and signalling that the attachment is possibly viral or not on the basis of an overall score.

Claim 8 (Original): A system according to claim 7, wherein the scores are weighted according to category.

Claim 9 (Currently Amended): A system according to claim 6, wherein the means signalling step c) takes account of factors including any or all of the following attributes of the email:

standard MIME headers;

unusual MIME headers;

deviations from RFC standards;

unusual constructs;

number of attachments;

type of attachments;

encoding method used for attachments;

text content of the email; and

HTML or XHTML content of the email.

Claim 10 (Currently Amended): A system according to claim 6, wherein the means a) extracts includes extracting the structural elements as strings, the means b) examines includes examining the attachments for matches of those strings and the means c) signals the attachment as possibly viral or not on the basis of the extent to which the examining means b) finds occurrences of the strings in the attachment.

Claim 11 (New): A method of anti-virus processing an email having an executable attachment comprising the steps, executed by a machine, of:

- a) extracting structural elements from the email;
- b) examining the executable attachment for code, data or encoded data that could have created the extracted structural elements; and
- c) signalling that the attachment is possibly viral or not on the basis of the extent to which the examining step b) finds evidence that the structural elements have been created by that attachment,

wherein the step a) includes extracting the structural elements as strings, the step b) includes examining the attachment for matches of those strings and the step c) signals the attachment as possibly viral or not on the basis of the extent to which the step b) finds occurrences of the strings in the attachment.

Claim 12 (New): A machine programmed to process an email having an executable attachment, the machine being programmed to:

- a) extract structural elements from the email;
- b) examine the executable attachment and compare the executable attachment with the extracted structural elements to determine whether the executable attachment contains code, data or encoded data that could have created the extracted structural elements; and

SHIPP, A. Appl. No. 10/500,960 Response to Office Action dated October 10, 2007

c) signal that the attachment is possibly viral or not on the basis of the extent to which the examining finds evidence that the structural elements have been created by that attachment.